(3)
CH 1004

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT - BILASPUR(C.G.)

W.P.NO. 3343 OF 2003

BETWEEN

PETITIONER : PRADEEP KUMAR DEWA NGAN
AGED - 42 YEARS
SON OF SHRI S.N. DEWANGAN
POSTED AS - SUB-ENGINEER
A T - PRIME MINISTER PROJECT
IMPLIMENT UNIT, PRADHAN MANTRI
GRAM SADAK YOJANA, DHAMTARI
R/O KOSHTAPARA, AZAD CHOWK,
DHAMTARI (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH - THE SECRETARY
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
D.K.S.BHAWAN, RAIPUR.
2. THE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER,
CHHATTISGARH, RAIPUR.
3. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER CUM MEMBER
SECRETARY OF PRIME MINISTER
PROJECT IMPLIMENT UNIT, PRADHAN
MANTRI GRAM SADAK YOJANA,
DHAMTARI (C.G.)

P. R. No. 3344/03
Presented by Shri R.K. Keshwani
dated 11/12/03

WRIT PETITION UNDER- ARTICLE- 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, SUITABLE DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ODERS.

(32)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3343/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	06.12.2003 Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Adv. for the State. Heard. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India challenging the order-dated 15.09.2003 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Development Commissioner under Sub-rule (1a) of Rule 9 of M.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules 1966. Under Sub-rule [3] of Rule 23 of the M.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules 1966 there is a provision to file an appeal against the order of suspension made under Rule 9, therefore, equally efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner but the petitioner has not availed of the same. Accordingly, this writ petition does not lie and it is dismissed. Consequently, M.W.P. No.3062/2003 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C.BHADOO** **Judge**	

Rostan/-

[पीछे देखिये